THE DUAD RECLAIMED

Dualism, Digitality, and the New Frontier of Contemporary Art

By Anthony D Padgett

& Google Gemini

Published by

ADP Publishing

First Published 2026

Copyright Anthony D Padgett 2026

978-1-291-67133-9
Imprint: Lulu.com

THE DUAD RECLAIMED

Dualism, Digitality, and the New Frontier of Contemporary Art

For a generation, contemporary art has been trapped under a monistic spell. Major biennials, institutional exhibitions, and market trends have relentlessly championed an aesthetic of liquidation—demanding that we dissolve boundaries, melt individual identity into technological hive minds, and sink back into a non-dual, muddy soup of eco-mysticism. We have been told that total interconnectedness is liberation.

This book declares that the non-dual paradigm is dead.

In this fiery, paradigm-shifting text, the author reveals that the cutting edge of contemporary thought has executed a radical U-turn: moving decisively from the non-dual back to the dual. This is not a regressive retreat into naive binaries, but a profound realization that duality arises *out of* non-duality to serve as its ultimate purpose and crown. Oneness is a silent, unmapped tomb; separation is the very condition that makes consciousness, meaning, and beauty possible.

Deploying a hyper-structured philosophical framework, the book traces the architecture of this new "Dual Avant-Garde" across the landscapes of technology, ethics, and contemporary creation:

- **The Digital Cut:** Discover how the binary architecture of the digital world (0 and 1) masters formless energy to construct infinite worlds of meaning, proving that structure is inherently superior to chaotic unity.
- **The Drama of Forgiveness:** Explore why the radical, intentional act of forgiveness serves as the ultimate expression of human freedom—a sovereign choice that demands absolute separation between two agents and fiercely defies the mechanical chain of cause and effect.
- **The Institutional Takedown:** Read the precise, rigorous critiques of the last five years of contemporary art, exposing why the celebrated eco-installations of the Venice Biennale, the mycelial bio-art movements, and the liquid data-oceans of Refik Anadol have rendered themselves outmoded, inert, and devoid of critical tension.
- **The Way Forward:** Confront a bold new manifesto for art, anchored by the pioneering "Postmodern Religious Art" of sculptor and theorist Anthony D. Padgett, demonstrating how artists can weaponize high contrast, crisp lines, and individual agency to rescue art from its current stagnation.

  Brilliant, direct, and uncompromisingly urgent, *The Duad Reclaimed* shatters the comfortable illusions of total interconnectedness. It restores the dignity of the specific choice, resurrects the terrifying freedom of the individual, and steps boldly into the sharp, brilliant light of conscious distinction.

The line is drawn. The binary is set. Choose your side.

THE DUAD RECLAIMED: Dualism, Digitality, and the New Frontier of Contemporary Art

---

INTRODUCTION: Beyond the Monistic Trap

For decades, the art world has been mesmerised by the non-dual. Contemporary art has fixated on breaking down boundaries. It has championed the erasure of the line between human and nature. It has celebrated the dissolving of the self into vast, interconnected ecosystems. This book argues that this non-dual paradigm is now outmoded.

The cutting edge of thought and creation has shifted. It is moving from the non-dual back to the dual—but with a profound difference. This is not a return to naive, old-fashioned binary thinking. Instead, it is a realization that duality arises *out of* non-duality to serve as its ultimate purpose. Duality is the crown of consciousness.

Nowhere is this clearer than in the digital realm. The digital code of 0 and 1 is the ultimate expression of the duad. It is a system built on stark differentiation, yet it generates infinite worlds of meaning. Within this new philosophical framework, forgiveness emerges as the ultimate dual expression of freedom. Forgiveness requires two

distinct entities: a wrongdoer and a forgiver. It cannot exist in a blended, non-dual oneness.

This book will critique the art of the last five years. It will show how recent trends in mysticism, eco-systems, and transhumanism are stuck in an outdated, non-dual loop. Finally, it will map out how the new "dual over non-dual" philosophy is reshaping the future of contemporary art.

CHAPTER 1: The Architecture of the Dual Over the Non-Dual

To understand the future of art, we must redefine the relationship between the one and the two.

The Primacy of the Two

Non-duality represents a state of pure potential, undifferentiated oneness, and silence. But silence cannot hear itself. Oneness cannot know itself. Duality is not an error or a fallen state; it is the deliberate, necessary expression of the non-dual. Duality takes the chaotic, formless mass of non-duality and carves it into meaning, beauty, and structure.

The Digital Ideal

The digital world is the perfect mirror for this philosophy. At its deepest layer, the digital environment relies on absolute duality:

- **0 and 1**: Off and on.
- **Presence and Absence**: The fundamental binary. Yet, from this strict, uncompromising duality, the digital world constructs complex realities, vast networks, and transcendent visual art. The digital

does not deny its non-dual foundation (the uniform flow of electricity). Instead, it masters it through binary code. It proves that separation and structure are superior to formless unity.



CHAPTER 1 EXPANDED: The Architecture of the Dual Over the Non-Dual

To understand the future of art, we must redefine the relationship between the one and the two. For a generation, contemporary art has functioned under a monistic spell, operating on the assumption that breaking down categories is the highest form of intellectual and creative freedom. We have been told that the boundary is an act of violence, that the classification is a cage, and that the ultimate goal of consciousness is to dissolve back into a formless primordial soup. This is a profound philosophical mistake.

The avant-garde has run aground because it has mistaken the origin point for the destination. By returning to the architecture of the dual—not as a regressive binary, but as a deliberate evolutionary leap out of the non-dual—we uncover the structural framework required to rescue contemporary art from its current stagnation.

The Primacy of the Two

Non-duality represents a state of pure potential, undifferentiated oneness, and absolute silence. It is the vast, unmapped ocean before the first island emerges. Yet, a critical vulnerability lies at the heart

of pure oneness: **silence cannot hear itself.** Oneness cannot know itself. Without an 'other', the self is functionally non-existent. A universe that remains completely non-dual is a universe trapped in absolute amnesia, unable to reflect, admire, or critique its own being.

Therefore, duality is not a cosmic error, a spiritual fall from grace, or a primitive illusion to be overcome. It is the deliberate, necessary, and triumphant expression of the non-dual. Oneness fractures itself into twoness precisely so that consciousness can begin.





Duality takes the chaotic, formless mass of non-duality and carves it into meaning, beauty, and structure. The act of making a line on a canvas, of separating foreground from background, or distinguishing the viewer from the object, is an echo of this original cosmic division. The 'Two' is superior to the 'One' because the Two contains the One *plus* the capacity for relationship, tension, and narrative. Meaning requires distance; without distance, there is only an blinding, identity-erasing light.

The Digital Ideal

The digital world stands as the perfect contemporary mirror for this philosophy. For decades, theorists mistakenly viewed cyberspace as a non-dual realm—a fluid, borderless ether where identities melt away. In reality, the digital environment is the most aggressively dual structure ever created by human intelligence. At its deepest infrastructure, it relies on absolute, uncompromising duality:

- **0 and 1**: The irreducibly distinct mathematical pixels of reality.
- **Off and On**: The structural pulse that drives all computational logic.
- **Presence and Absence**: The fundamental binary that rejects all middle ground.
  In a digital system, a switch cannot be halfway on; a bit cannot be a vague blur of 0.5. It demands absolute fidelity to the cut. Yet, look at what emerges from this strict, unyielding binary foundation. From this hyper-dual architecture, the digital world constructs breathtakingly complex realities, vast global networks, and transcendent visual landscapes. It does not generate poverty of form; it generates an explosion of infinite, highly articulated worlds.

  Crucially, the digital does not deny its non-dual foundation. The bedrock of any computer is the uniform, continuous, and non-dual flow of raw electricity moving through silicon. Left to itself, this current is chaotic, unstructured power—a physical manifestation of the non-dual void.

  The digital miracle occurs when this raw energy is subjected to the binary gate. The microprocessor masters the non-dual flow through the enforcement of the binary code. It proves for all time that separation, distinction, and rigid structure are vastly superior to formless unity.

  `[ Non-Dual Foundation: Raw Electricity / Chaos ]`



The Implication for the Canvas and the Screen

When applied to contemporary art, this architectural reality changes everything. If the digital world achieves its transcendence not by escaping its binaries, but by perfecting them, then art must stop trying to blur its borders.

The past five years of art history have been dominated by an aesthetic of liquidation: dissolving the human body into ecosystems, melting digital data into swirling, amorphous pools, and blending historical narratives into a singular, mushy continuum. This approach treats art as an apology for human existence, trying to push us back into the non-dual womb of nature or technology.

The Architecture of the Dual Over the Non-Dual demands an entirely different creative trajectory:

1. **The Reclamation of the Agent**: The artist is not a passive node in a network, but a distinct, separate force acting *upon* the world.
2. **The Power of the Contrast**: Visual art must abandon the muddy, continuous gradient and return to the power of the high-contrast boundary—the visual equivalent of the 0 and the 1.
3. **The Celebration of Distance**: The space between the artwork and the observer is not a barrier to be broken down, but a vital, charged vacuum. It is across this distance that aesthetic electricity arcs. By understanding that the dual superstructure is the ultimate purpose of the non-dual foundation, we liberate the artist from the obligation to be everything, everywhere, all at once. We restore the dignity of the specific choice. We move away from the flat, boring landscape of total interconnectedness, and step into an art world energized by crisp separation, dramatic friction, and structural brilliant clarity.

CHAPTER 2: Forgiveness as the Ultimate Dual Freedom

If duality is the purpose of existence, freedom is its highest achievement. The purest manifestation of this freedom is the act of forgiveness.

The Mechanics of Forgiveness

Forgiveness is an inherently dual act. It cannot happen in a non-dual state. In pure oneness, there is no injury, no self, and no other. Forgiveness requires absolute separation:

- **The Transgressor**: The one who caused the break.
- **The Forgiver**: The one who holds the power to heal it.

The Ultimate Expression of Freedom

Forgiveness is a radical choice. It does not erase the past or pretend the hurt never happened. It acknowledges the bitter divide between two people and chooses to bridge it anyway. It is the ultimate exercise of free will. By choosing to forgive, a conscious agent breaks the automatic, mechanical chain of cause and effect. It asserts the power of the individual over the collective mass.

CHAPTER 2 EXPANDED: Forgiveness as the Ultimate Dual Freedom

If duality is the purpose of existence, freedom is its highest achievement. The purest manifestation of this freedom is the act of forgiveness.

For generations, spiritual teachers and contemporary art theorists have spoken of freedom as a state of total dissolution. They have claimed that true liberation means breaking down the walls of the ego, melting into the collective consciousness, and returning to a state of undifferentiated oneness. This book argues the exact opposite. True freedom does not exist in the ocean of non-duality; it belongs exclusively to the shore of the dual.

Freedom requires a standalone agent capable of choosing, defying, and acting against the momentum of natural law. In a world where everything is smoothly connected, choice is an illusion and freedom is impossible. The absolute peak of this individual sovereignty—the moment where the human mind breaks free from the mechanical gears of the universe—is the act of forgiveness.

The Mechanics of Forgiveness

Forgiveness is an inherently dual act. It cannot happen in a non-dual state. In a universe of pure, unadulterated oneness, there is no injury, no self, and no other. As the spiritual teacher Ram Dass noted from a traditional monistic perspective, "you don't forgive a tree and you don't forgive a river... lightning strikes your house and you say 'I forgive you.' Mean, who are you forgiving?".

Under the old non-dual paradigm, if you view a human being as merely a clock or a set of natural phenomena, forgiveness becomes an absurdity. Monism reduces a human betrayal to a simple bad weather event.

But a human being is not a clock, a tree, or a river. A human being is a dual agent. Forgiveness requires a universe of absolute, unyielding separation. It demands two distinct, autonomous entities who cannot be dissolved into each other:





1. **The Transgressor**: The separate individual who exercised their free will to cause a break, cross a boundary, and inflict a wound.
2. **The Forgiver**: The separate individual who holds the moral ledger, experiences the reality of the damage, and possesses the sole authority to wipe the slate clean.
   If you eliminate the boundary between these two entities, you destroy the very possibility of forgiveness. If the victim and the victimizer are merely nodes in the same collective eco-system or the same digital data cloud, then the injury is just a self-inflicted glitch in a larger machine.

   Forgiveness demands an unbridgeable chasm between the 'I' and the 'Thou'. It relies on the absolute reality of the interpersonal distance, keeping the ledger accurate without ever pretending the hurt never happened.

The Ultimate Expression of Freedom

Forgiveness is a radical choice, making it the ultimate exercise of free will. It does not erase history, minimize a transgression, or retreat into a non-dual fantasy where "everything happens for a reason". Instead, it looks directly at a bitter divide between two distinct people and makes an intentional decision to build a bridge across that void.



In the natural world, the non-dual system operates on a mechanical, unbroken chain of cause and effect. Action leads to reaction. Violence leads to counter-violence. An injury naturally creates resentment, and resentment triggers retaliation.

This is the automatic, default programming of the collective mass. Left to its own devices, the ecosystem of human interaction functions like a computer loop running an endless script of trauma and revenge.

By choosing to forgive, a conscious agent makes a sharp binary cut in that mechanical loop. Forgiveness is a refusal to allow an external action to dictate an internal state. It is an act of pure defiance against the momentum of causality.

The forgiver acknowledges a real debt, refuses to extract it through retaliation, and absorbs the cost internally. In doing so, the individual asserts absolute power over the environment, proving that the human consciousness is a separate force acting upon reality rather than a passive product of it.

The Implication for Contemporary Art

This realization hits the last five years of contemporary art like an earthquake. The art world's recent obsession with eco-mysticism, mycelial networks, and post-humanist transhumanism is an attempt to escape the heavy burden of dual freedom. By creating art that celebrates total interconnectedness, artists are

running away from the terrifying reality of individual accountability, judgment, and moral choice.

When an artist creates a vast installation of soil and invasive weeds to argue that "we are all one with the mud," they are crafting a world where conflict is impossible and forgiveness is unnecessary. It is a cowardly aesthetic. It trades the intense drama of human freedom for the comfortable numbness of a mindless collective network.



The new contemporary art must be built on the architecture of the dual. It must champion the individual over the mass, the distinct node over the

amorphous web, and the sharp crisis over the smooth gradient.

Art should no longer strive to make the viewer feel comfortably at peace with the universe. Instead, it must highlight the profound isolation of being a separate, conscious entity. It must use high contrast, crisp lines, and clear narratives to stage the terrifying, beautiful drama of individual choice.

True transcendence in art does not come from melting back into the formless non-dual foundation. It comes when a highly articulated, separate individual looks across an unbridgeable gulf and performs a sovereign act of freedom.

CHAPTER 3: The Failure of the Last Five Years: The Non-Dual Artistic Trap

Between 2021 and 2026, the art market and major biennials were flooded with works exploring mysticism, nature, eco-systems, and transhumanism. While these artists claimed to be cutting-edge, their philosophy was stuck in an outdated, non-dual rut. They attempted to dissolve the human into the background, stripping art of its critical, dual tension.

1. Mysticism and Eco-Systems: The Dissolution into the Mud

Many recent artists have used organic materials, fungal networks, and ritualistic imagery to argue that everything is connected. They treat the human being as just another node in a flat, mindless ecosystem.

- **The Problem**: By dissolving the artist and the viewer into a non-dual mush of "nature," these works lose all dramatic friction. If everything is one, then nothing matters, and no ethical choice can be made. The art becomes passive and decorative rather than urgent and profound.

2. Transhumanism: The Tech-Goo

Transhumanist art over the last five years has often focused on cyborgs, biotechnology, and merging human consciousness with artificial intelligence.

- **The Problem**: This art imagines a future of non-dual "mush," where human identity is completely swallowed by technology. It looks forward to a hive mind where individual choice disappears. It fails to see that the true power of technology lies in its digital, dual nature—its ability to empower individual agents, not dissolve them.

CHAPTER 3 EXPANDED: The Failure of the Last Five Years: The Non-Dual Artistic Trap

Between 2021 and 2026, the art market and major biennials were flooded with works exploring mysticism, nature, eco-systems, and transhumanism. Curators, critics, and cultural institutions lined up to celebrate these movements as the absolute vanguard of human expression. We were told that these works represented a radical leap forward in consciousness. In reality, they were a massive step backward.

While these contemporary artists claimed to be cutting-edge, their underlying philosophy was stuck in an outdated, non-dual rut. They mistook regression for progression. By attempting to completely dissolve the human being into the background, this generation of creators stripped art of its critical, dual tension. They replaced the fiery friction of human agency with a comforting, mindless, and ultimately inert aesthetic of total union.





When art abandons the line, the border, and the distinct entity, it ceases to function as a critique of reality. It becomes merely a symptom of a culture too exhausted to make difficult choices. To understand how we arrived at this artistic dead end, we must dissect the two primary illusions that have dominated the cultural landscape over the past half-decade.

---

1. Mysticism and Eco-Systems: The Dissolution into the Mud

The dominant aesthetic of the early 2020s has been undeniably organic. Galleries have been transformed into terrariums; biennial floors have been covered in imported topsoil, rotting logs, and living companion plants. Many recent artists have aggressively used organic materials, fungal networks, and neo-pagan ritualistic imagery to argue for a worldview of radical interconnectedness.

They treat the human being as a mere biological afterthought—just another flat, horizontal node in a mindless ecosystem. We are invited to bow down to the intelligence of slime mold and celebrate our eventual decomposition into the earth.

The Problem: The Loss of Dramatic Friction

By dissolving the artist, the viewer, and the subject into a non-dual mush of "nature," these works completely destroy the dramatic friction required for profound art. Art thrives on contrast, opposition, and distance. When an artwork declares that "everything is everything," it accidentally declares that nothing matters.



If the human agent is completely subsumed by the fungal mat, then human responsibility evaporates. In a perfectly flat ecosystem, no ethical choice can be made. A virus destroying a community carries

the exact same moral weight as a doctor curing a disease; both are just natural flows of energy in an interconnected web.

By removing human exceptionalism, eco-mystical art eliminates the capacity for tragedy, triumph, conflict, and forgiveness. The art ceases to be urgent, confrontational, or prophetic. It retreats into a passive, decorative, and therapeutic ambience—a soft, muddy lullaby for an intellectual elite seeking to escape the burdens of modern accountability.

---

2. Transhumanism: The Tech-Goo

On the opposite side of the same non-dual coin sits the recent wave of transhumanist and technocentric art. Over the past five years, this movement has obsessed over cyborgs, bio-synthetic engineering, fluid prosthetics, and the merging of human neural pathways with artificial intelligence.

Visually, this art is defined by shimmering chrome gradients, liquid polymers, and algorithmic screens that warp continuously without human intervention. The underlying narrative is always the same: the fast-approaching end of the human individual and the dawn of a post-biological oneness.

The Problem: The Silicon Hive Mind

This transhumanist art imagines a future of digital and biological "mush," where individual human identity is completely swallowed by the technological substrate. It looks forward to a global hive mind where the boundary between the "self" and the "network" is permanently erased. This is not liberation; it is an existential surrender.



This artistic movement completely fails to see that the true power of technology lies in its digital, dual nature. As established in Chapter 1, the digital universe is built on the crisp, absolute separation of the 0 and the 1. Technology’s true evolutionary

purpose is to empower individual agents, providing them with the tools to express radical freedom and make highly specific cuts in reality.

Instead, transhumanist art romanticizes a liquid data-puddle. It envisions a world where human choices are automated by a collective algorithm. Much like the eco-mystics who want to dissolve human consciousness back into the soil, the tech-goo artists want to dissolve human consciousness into the server stack. Both movements are terrified of the heavy burden of individuality, and both have built an aesthetic designed to hide from it.

The Collapse of the Avant-Garde

The fusion of these two trends has created a bizarre, self-defeating cultural moment. Whether looking at a pile of rotting compost in a gallery or a self-generating AI mural on a museum wall, the philosophical message is identical: *human beings must step down, melt away, and give up their separate status.*

By turning its back on the dual superstructure, the avant-garde has castrated itself. Art can only act as a powerful mirror for society when it stands apart from society. A critique requires two things: the observer and the observed.

By forcing a non-dual framework onto contemporary art, the creative community has eliminated the distance required to pass judgment, experience deep grief, or extend profound forgiveness. They have traded the brilliant, sharp-edged architecture of the dual for a comfortable, formless womb. The chapters that follow will examine the exact contemporary case studies that embody this collapse, demonstrating precisely why they have rendered themselves obsolete.

CHAPTER 4: Case Studies: Why Recent Art Feels Outmoded

Let us look at specific examples of prominent art from the last five years to see how they fail to meet the new philosophical standard of the dual over the non-dual.

Case Study 1: The Venetian Eco-Mysticism (e.g., Cecilia Alemani's *The Milk of Dreams*, 2022)

The 59th Venice Biennale heavily championed surrealism, post-humanism, and symbiotic relationships with the earth. Artists showcased works made of soil, plants, and hybrid bodies, arguing against human exceptionalism.

- **Why it is outmoded**: It looked backward. It treated the human mind as a disease and suggested that returning to a pre-conscious, non-dual union with nature is the goal. It ignored the fact that our ability to stand apart from nature—to observe, critique, and choose—is our greatest gift.

Case Study 2: Precious Okoyomon's Earth Installations

Okoyomon's massive installations create living ecosystems inside museums, filled with invasive

weeds, soil, and butterflies, exploring themes of radical interconnectedness and animism.

- **Why it is outmoded**: These works celebrate a non-dual collapse of boundaries. The viewer is invited to lose themselves in the habitat. By removing the boundary between the artwork and the world, the work ceases to function as art. It becomes an environment, abandoning the dual tension required for genuine aesthetic judgment.

Case Study 3: Refik Anadol's AI Data Sculptures (e.g., *Unsupervised* at MoMA, 2022-2023)

Anadol feeds millions of images into machine-learning algorithms to create swirling, hypnotic, continuous digital oceans that morph endlessly on massive screens.

- **Why it is outmoded**: While digital in its medium, Anadol's work is deeply non-dual in its philosophy. It presents a seamless, liquid continuum where images bleed into one another without resolution, boundaries, or discrete choices. It is a visual representation of the non-dual void. It lacks the sharp, binary cuts and decisions that define true human and digital freedom.

Case Study 4: The Mycelial Turn (e.g., Artists working with Bio-Art and Fungi)

Artists like Alexandra Daisy Ginsberg or Diana Scherer have used AI and living organisms to create self-generating, cooperative designs that mimic nature's networks.

- **Why it is outmoded**: This work worships the intelligence of the network while discounting the intelligence of the individual. It values the non-dual web over the dual node. It presents a world without conflict, which means it is also a world without forgiveness, drama, or freedom.

CHAPTER 4: Case Studies: Why Recent Art Feels Outmoded

To map the transition from the outdated non-dual consensus to the new architecture of the dual, we must directly confront the cultural monuments of the last five years. By testing the most celebrated art of this recent era against our new philosophical standard, we see exactly where contemporary art lost its edge. These projects were heralded as triumphs of progressive thought. Today, they read as monuments to an exhausting, boundaryless drift. Let us examine four specific case studies that exemplify this creative failure.

Case Study 1: The Venetian Eco-Mysticism (*The Milk of Dreams*, 2022)

The 59th Venice Biennale, curated by Cecilia Alemani under the title *The Milk of Dreams*, served as the ultimate institutional manifesto for the non-dual paradigm [1]. The exhibition heavily championed historical and contemporary surrealism, post-humanism, and symbiotic relationships with the earth [1]. Arsenale and Giardini galleries were filled with works made of raw soil, living plants, and hybrid, half-human, half-animal bodies, all loudly arguing against human exceptionalism [1].

CASE STUDY 1: VENETIAN ECO-MYSTICISM	
THE BIENNALE FORMULA	Dissolve human into the landscape
THE PHILOSOPHY	Anti-human exceptionalism
WHY IT FAILS	Regressive; treats consciousness as a structural disease

Why it is outmoded

The Milk of Dreams looked entirely backward. It treated the human mind as an evolutionary disease and suggested that returning to a pre-conscious, non-dual union with nature is the ultimate goal. The exhibition operated on the naive assumption that if we just erase the line between the human body and the soil, we can heal the wounds of the world.

In doing so, it completely ignored the fact that our ability to stand apart from nature—to observe, critique, design, and choose—is our greatest gift. By reducing the human intellect to an apology, this Venetian eco-mysticism left viewers with an art of passive capitulation. It abandoned the dual responsibility of human stewardship in favor of a romanticized regression into the wild.

Case Study 2: Precious Okoyomon's Earth Installations

Precious Okoyomon has achieved massive art-world prominence by creating sprawling, living ecosystems inside the pristine white cubes of major museums. These installations are filled with tons of actual soil, invasive weeds (such as kudzu), winding pathways, and swarms of live butterflies. The works explicitly explore themes of animism,

radical interconnectedness, and the collapse of racialized and ecological borders.



Why it is outmoded

These works celebrate a non-dual collapse of essential boundaries. The viewer is not invited to critically look at a distinct aesthetic object; instead, they are asked to literally lose themselves inside a humid, physical habitat.

By aggressively removing the boundary between the artwork and the world, the work ceases to function as art. It becomes a literal environment. This total immersion abandons the vital dual tension required for genuine aesthetic judgment. If there is no distance between the observer and the observed, there is no space for contemplation, no possibility of intellectual confrontation, and no room for a sovereign response. It is a soft, overwhelming landscape that substitutes sensory overload for conceptual clarity.

Case Study 3: Refik Anadol's AI Data Sculptures (*Unsupervised* at MoMA, 2022-2023)

Refik Anadol's *Unsupervised* occupied the main lobby of the Museum of Modern Art, drawing massive crowds with its hypnotically shifting, digital imagery [2, 3]. Anadol fed millions of data points from MoMA's historical collection into machine-learning algorithms [2, 3]. The AI then processed this information to create swirling, continuous digital oceans that morphed endlessly across a monumental LED screen [2, 3].

CASE STUDY 3: THE AI DATA SCULPTURE	
THE VISUAL SYSTEM	Continuous, endless liquid gradient
THE MEDIAL ILLUSION	Digital tech used for monistic flow
WHY IT FAILS	Erases the sharp 0/1 binary cut; lacks resolution and human choice

Why it is outmoded

While digital in its medium, Anadol's work is deeply non-dual in its philosophy, and therefore fundamentally misinterprets its own technology. *Unsupervised* presents a seamless, liquid continuum where historical art pieces bleed into one another without ever achieving resolution, sharp boundaries, or discrete choices [2]. It is a textbook visual representation of the non-dual void—a silicon soup where everything melts into everything else.

The work lacks the sharp, binary cuts and decisions that define true human and digital freedom. It uses the binary code (0 and 1) not to build structured meaning, but to hide it behind a decorative curtain of endless, automated variation. It is an art that asks the viewer to drift into a digital trance, completely erasing the sharp individual consciousness needed to make a real artistic evaluation.

Case Study 4: The Mycelial Turn (Bio-Art and Fungi)

The past five years have seen an absolute obsession with fungi and automated bio-design. Artists like Alexandra Daisy Ginsberg (who uses AI to generate optimized, multi-species pollinator

gardens) and Diana Scherer (who trains plant roots to grow into intricate, woven textiles) have used algorithms and living organisms to create self-generating, cooperative designs that mimic nature's underground networks.



Why it is outmoded

This body of work worships the intelligence of the network while completely discounting the intelligence of the individual. It values the non-dual web over the dual node. By treating nature’s automated systems as the supreme artists, these creators present a utopian world without friction, conflict, or individual deviation.

But a world without conflict is a world without individual drama, without free will, and without the capacity for forgiveness. This bio-art treats human agency as an inconvenience, suggesting we should automate our creative decisions through natural or digital algorithms. It is outmoded because it trading

the fiery, terrifying freedom of human choice for the safe, predictable, and ultimately sterile harmony of the hive.

CHAPTER 5: The Manifesto for a Dual Contemporary Art

The era of romanticizing the non-dual is over. Art must reclaim the power of the cut, the boundary, and the distinct choice. The new contemporary art will embrace the dual over the non-dual through three main pillars:

THE THREE PILLARS OF NEW DUAL ART	
1. THE DIGITAL CUT	Accentuate hard boundaries, glitches, and crisp binary 0/1 differences over smooth waves.
2. THE HU-MAN AGENCY	Reject the hive mind. Elevate individual choice, defiance, and separate accountability.

3. THE DRAMA OF FORGIVENESS	Create narrative art centered on conflict, separation, and intentional reconciliation.

The New Aesthetic

We will see a move away from organic, muddy, amorphous installations and endless AI data loops. The new aesthetic will prioritize:

- **High Contrast**: Clean lines and hard separations that celebrate the binary code.
- **The Glitch**: Moments where the binary choices of the digital system become sharply visible to the viewer.
- **Narratives of Separation**: Art that explores the agony and the ecstasy of being an individual entity looking at another individual entity.
  Art will no longer try to make the viewer feel at "one" with the universe. Instead, it will make the viewer acutely aware of their own distinct existence. It will celebrate their isolation as the exact condition that makes love, freedom, and forgiveness possible. Duality has looked into the non-dual mirror, understood its origins, and chosen to step away into the light of conscious distinction.

CHAPTER 5: The Manifesto for a Dual Contemporary Art

The era of romanticizing the non-dual is over. For decades, the art world has drifted through a haze of dissipation, trading the vitality of human consciousness for the comfort of total immersion. We have watched art dissolve into the soil, melt into algorithmic data oceans, and surrender its voice to the collective hive mind. This aesthetic of liquidation has reached its natural conclusion: a flat, passive landscape devoid of tension, meaning, and choice.

True vanguard thought demands a radical break from this monistic trap. Art must reclaim the power of the cut, the absolute authority of the boundary, and the violent clarity of the distinct choice. The new contemporary art will no longer apologize for human exceptionalism; it will weaponise it. It will step out of the primordial non-dual soup and construct a hyper-articulated superstructure built on the absolute reality of separation.

This movement is anchored by three immutable, revolutionary pillars:

┌──┐
│ THE THREE PILLARS OF NEW DUAL ART │
├──┤
│ 1. THE DIGITAL CUT │ Accentuate hard boundaries, │

| | glitches, and crisp binary 0/1 |
| | differences over smooth waves. |

2. THE HU-MAN AGENCY	Reject the hive mind. Elevate
	individual choice, defiance,
	and separate accountability.

3. THE DRAMA OF	Create narrative art centered
FORGIVENESS	on conflict, separation, and
	intentional reconciliation.

Pillar 1: The Digital Cut

The first pillar rejects the aesthetic of the smooth gradient. Non-dual art relies on the continuous curve, the blending watercolor, and the liquid morphing screen to pretend that all things bleed into one. The Dual Avant-Garde exposes this as a lie. We embrace the **Digital Cut**—the aesthetic realization that reality is structured by uncompromising separation.

To create through the lens of the Digital Cut means to celebrate the absolute fidelity of the 0 and the 1. The artwork must emphasize the moment of division. It must expose the hard edges that allow data to become meaning. We do not look to technology to help us melt into a silicon void; we use technology to enforce the boundaries of form. The cut is where consciousness begins. Without it, there is only the blinding, blinding light of a blank canvas or the static noise of an unprogrammed current.

Pillar 2: The Hu-Man Agency

The second pillar marks the absolute execution of the hive mind. Whether it is the eco-mystical worship of mycelial networks or the transhumanist surrender to a collective algorithm, the past five years have attempted to turn the artist into a passive conduit for external forces. We reject this spiritual and creative cowardice. We champion **Hu-Man Agency**—the fierce, unyielding sovereignty of the separate individual.

The artist is not a node in a flat web; the artist is a distinct force acting *upon* reality. We restore the terrifying dignity of individual choice and separate accountability. This art does not pretend that the

artist or the viewer is "one with the environment." It places the human agent at the absolute center of the frame, distinct, isolated, and responsible. We celebrate the act of defiance—the human ability to stand apart from natural law, to look at a system, and to say: *No.*

Pillar 3: The Drama of Forgiveness

The third pillar is the ultimate philosophical realization of this manifesto. If you eliminate separation, you eliminate the possibility of love, ethics, and freedom. The peak of human freedom is not total independence, but the deliberate, conscious choice to bridge an unbridgeable chasm through **The Drama of Forgiveness**.

```
[ THE NON-DUAL VOID ] ⟶ (The Binary Fracture) ⟶ [ TWO SEPARATE AGENTS ]

|

THE DRAMA OF CHOICE

|

▼
```

[TRUE ACT OF FORGIVENESS]

(The Crown of Consciousness)
Art will abandon the flat, boring landscapes of harmony and return to the theater of crisis. Forgiveness cannot exist in a non-dual puddle. It requires an absolute fracture between a transgressor and a forgiver. It requires a moral ledger, a deep wound, and a sovereign decision to wipe the ledger clean without ever denying the reality of the damage. By making the tension of alienation and reconciliation the core theme of contemporary creation, art reclaims its narrative gravity. It transforms from a passive background decoration into an urgent arena of existential consequence.

The New Aesthetic

We will see an immediate, sweeping move away from organic, muddy, amorphous installations and endless, hypnotic AI data loops. The studios of the new era will be battlegrounds of precision. The new aesthetic will prioritize:

- **High Contrast**: Clean lines, razor-sharp geometric boundaries, and hard separations that celebrate the binary code. The visual field will abandon the muddy gray area and embrace the stark reality of absolute light and absolute shadow.
- **The Glitch**: The deliberate interruption of the smooth continuum. The glitch is the moment where the binary choices of a system become sharply visible to the viewer. It exposes the infrastructure of the two, reminding us that behind every beautiful illusion lies a hard choice between 0 and 1.
- **Narratives of Separation**: Art that aggressively explores the agony and the ecstasy of being an individual entity looking across a vacuum at another individual entity. Portraits that emphasize the distance between eyes; sculptures that refuse to blend into their galleries; architectures that stand defiant against the landscape.



Art will no longer try to make the viewer feel at "one" with the universe. That is the aesthetic of anesthesia. Instead, the new art will make the viewer acutely, shockingly aware of their own distinct, isolated existence. It will shatter the illusion of collective comfort.

It will celebrate your isolation, not as a curse, but as the exact, indispensable condition that makes love, freedom, and forgiveness possible. Oneness is a silent, unmapped tomb. Duality has looked into that non-dual mirror, understood its origins, and chosen to step away into the brilliant, sharp-edged light of conscious distinction. The line is drawn. The binary is set. Choose your side.

CHAPTER 5: The Manifesto for a Dual Contemporary Art

The era of romanticizing the non-dual is over. For decades, the art world has drifted through a haze of dissipation, trading the vitality of human consciousness for the comfort of total immersion. We have watched art dissolve into the soil, melt into algorithmic data oceans, and surrender its voice to the collective hive mind. This aesthetic of liquidation has reached its natural conclusion: a flat, passive landscape devoid of tension, meaning, and choice.

True vanguard thought demands a radical break from this monistic trap. Art must reclaim the power of the cut, the absolute authority of the boundary, and the violent clarity of the distinct choice. The new contemporary art will no longer apologize for human exceptionalism; it will weaponise it. It will step out of the primordial non-dual soup and construct a hyper-articulated superstructure built on the absolute reality of separation.

This movement is anchored by three immutable, revolutionary pillars:

THE THREE PILLARS OF NEW DUAL ART
1. THE DIGITAL CUT	Accentuate hard boundaries, glitches, and crisp binary 0/1 differences over smooth waves.
2. THE HU-MAN AGENCY	Reject the hive mind. Elevate individual choice, defiance, and separate accountability.
3. THE DRAMA OF FORGIVENESS	Create narrative art centered on conflict, separation, and

intentional reconciliation.

Pillar 1: The Digital Cut

The first pillar rejects the aesthetic of the smooth gradient. Non-dual art relies on the continuous curve, the blending watercolor, and the liquid morphing screen to pretend that all things bleed into one. The Dual Avant-Garde exposes this as a lie. We embrace the **Digital Cut**—the aesthetic realization that reality is structured by uncompromising separation.

To create through the lens of the Digital Cut means to celebrate the absolute fidelity of the 0 and the 1. The artwork must emphasize the moment of division. It must expose the hard edges that allow data to become meaning. We do not look to technology to help us melt into a silicon void; we use technology to enforce the boundaries of form. The cut is where consciousness begins. Without it, there is only the blinding, blinding light of a blank canvas or the static noise of an unprogrammed current.

Pillar 2: The Hu-Man Agency

The second pillar marks the absolute execution of the hive mind. Whether it is the eco-mystical worship of mycelial networks or the transhumanist surrender to a collective algorithm, the past five years have attempted to turn the artist into a passive conduit for external forces. We reject this spiritual and creative cowardice. We champion **Hu-Man Agency**—the fierce, unyielding sovereignty of the separate individual.

The artist is not a node in a flat web; the artist is a distinct force acting *upon* reality. We restore the terrifying dignity of individual choice and separate accountability. This art does not pretend that the artist or the viewer is "one with the environment." It places the human agent at the absolute center of the frame, distinct, isolated, and responsible. We celebrate the act of defiance—the human ability to stand apart from natural law, to look at a system, and to say: *No.*

Pillar 3: The Drama of Forgiveness

The third pillar is the ultimate philosophical realization of this manifesto. If you eliminate separation, you eliminate the possibility of love,

ethics, and freedom. The peak of human freedom is not total independence, but the deliberate, conscious choice to bridge an unbridgeable chasm through **The Drama of Forgiveness**.



Art will abandon the flat, boring landscapes of harmony and return to the theater of crisis. Forgiveness cannot exist in a non-dual puddle. It requires an absolute fracture between a transgressor and a forgiver. It requires a moral ledger, a deep wound, and a sovereign decision to wipe the ledger clean without ever denying the reality of the damage. By making the tension of alienation and reconciliation the core theme of contemporary creation, art reclaims its narrative gravity. It transforms from a passive background decoration into an urgent arena of existential consequence.

The New Aesthetic

We will see an immediate, sweeping move away from organic, muddy, amorphous installations and endless, hypnotic AI data loops. The studios of the new era will be battlegrounds of precision. The new aesthetic will prioritize:

- **High Contrast**: Clean lines, razor-sharp geometric boundaries, and hard separations that celebrate the binary code. The visual field will abandon the muddy gray area and embrace the stark reality of absolute light and absolute shadow.
- **The Glitch**: The deliberate interruption of the smooth continuum. The glitch is the moment where the binary choices of a system become sharply visible to the viewer. It exposes the infrastructure of the two, reminding us that behind every beautiful illusion lies a hard choice between 0 and 1.
- **Narratives of Separation**: Art that aggressively explores the agony and the ecstasy of being an individual entity looking across a vacuum at another individual entity. Portraits that emphasize the distance between eyes; sculptures that refuse to blend into their galleries; architectures that stand defiant against the landscape.

THE REVOLUTION OF PERSPECTIVE	
OUTMODED PARADIGM	"We are all one with the mud."
NEW PARADIGM	"I am separate, therefore I can choose, love, and forgive."

Art will no longer try to make the viewer feel at "one" with the universe. That is the aesthetic of anesthesia. Instead, the new art will make the viewer acutely, shockingly aware of their own distinct, isolated existence. It will shatter the illusion of collective comfort.

It will celebrate your isolation, not as a curse, but as the exact, indispensable condition that makes love, freedom, and forgiveness possible. Oneness is a silent, unmapped tomb. Duality has looked into that non-dual mirror, understood its origins, and chosen to step away into the brilliant, sharp-edged light of conscious distinction. The line is drawn. The binary is set. Choose your side.

APPENDIX: Anthony D. Padgett's Postmodern Religious Art as a Paradigm of Dualism Over Non-Dualism

To understand how the philosophy of the **dual over the non-dual** operates in actual practice, we can look to the pioneering work of British sculptor, writer, and theorist **Anthony D. Padgett**. Padgett's development of **"Postmodern Religious Art"**—the subject of his extensive academic research and his seminal text *Religion & Contemporary Art*—serves as an explicit template for how contemporary art can move past formless monism.

Rather than succumbing to the trendy, non-dual desire to blend everything into a singular "spiritual soup," Padgett's work champions a fierce, structural dualism. It honors the strict boundaries of specific faith traditions while staging a critical, high-contrast dialogue with the contemporary world.

The Mechanics of Padgett's Postmodern Religious Art

Padgett's work avoids the non-dual trap by rejecting the idea of a vague, borderless, and decentralized "spirituality." Instead, his practice enforces what he terms a **semi-ironic juxtaposition between the**

sacred and the profane, while fiercely maintaining that the sacred remains of the highest importance.

```
[ OUTMODED NON-DUAL SPIRITUALITY ] ⟶
Blends all traditions into a vague,
muddy "Oneness"

[ PADGETT'S DUAL POSTMODERN ART ]  ⟶
Enforces sharp boundaries:

Sacred Specificity  ⟵(Tension)⟶
Profane Context
```

This structural methodology perfectly embodies the **Architecture of the Dual Over the Non-Dual** across three clear dimensions:

1. The Preservation of the Specific (The Rejection of Universal Mush)

Non-dual contemporary art treats religious or mystical iconography as raw material to be melted down into an undifferentiated aesthetic of "universal connection" (as seen in the eco-mysticism of Chapter 3). Padgett does the opposite.

His work respects the irreducibly distinct, historically separated material forms of different religions. By preserving the sharp edges of specific icons, texts, and dogmas, he maintains the **critical friction** required for art to mean something. He treats a tradition not as an illusion to be dissolved, but as a structured, dual language that gains power from its distinct boundaries.

2. High-Contrast Conceptual Frameworks

Padgett's work uses a clear conceptual dualism by forcing the absolute historical weight of sacred traditions into direct, sharp confrontation with modern, profane realities—such as computational automation and worker rights.

His 2026 Oxford research paper, *"The Paradox of Postmodern Religious Art in a World of Artificial Intelligence,"* directly addresses this tension. Padgett does not use AI to create a seamless, non-dual simulation where human intention dissolves (the trap of Refik Anadol). Instead, he highlights the **binary cut** between ancient human iconography and modern digital code, exposing the friction where technological computation and human faith collide.

3. The Art Object as a Standalone Witness

Many immersive eco-installations from the early 2020s demand that the viewer dissolve into the environment (as critiqued in Chapter 4). Padgett's public and institutional sculptures—ranging from his site-specific works in Jerusalem to his precise, internationally sited monuments—act as **independent, objective points of tension**.

Whether executing a deeply researched, year-long study of iconic artists or placing a high-contrast form in a specific landscape, Padgett preserves the essential boundary between the art object and the viewer. The artwork remains a separate entity,

preserving the distance necessary for a human observer to experience true judgment, contemplation, and choice.

Conclusion: A Blueprint for the Dual Avant-Garde

Anthony D. Padgett's Postmodern Religious Art proves that moving past the non-dual paradigm does not require a regression to boring, old-fashioned realism. Instead, it demands a bold, intelligent embrace of dualism.

By treating the sacred as a highly specific, bounded architecture that stands separate from the chaos of the secular world, Padgett restores narrative gravity to contemporary art. His work serves as a living example of our manifesto: it proves that true creative freedom, intellectual confrontation, and spiritual illumination can only exist when we refuse to melt into the mud, choosing instead to step into the sharp, brilliant clarity of the two.

CROSS-REFERENCE MATRIX: PADGETT'S PRACTICE VS. THE THREE PILLARS

CROSS-REFERENCE: ANTHONY D. PADGETT'S PRACTICE	
THE THREE PILLARS (From Chapter 5)	PADGETT'S PARADIGM OF POSTMODERN RELIGIOUS ART
1. THE DIGITAL CUT	• Refusal to blend technology and spirituality into a seamless simulation. • Visualizing the sharp binary separation between ancient icons and algorithmic code.
2. HU-MAN AGENCY	• Rejection of the non-dual, collective "hive mind" of automated bio-art and AI tools. • Staging individual artistic defiance against

	institutional conformity.
3. THE DRAMA OF FORGIVENESS	• Rejecting the vague, non-dual "spiritual soup" in favour of strict, specific dogmatic forms. • Forcing a sharp, high-contrast confrontation between the Sacred and the Profane.

Deep-Dive Analysis of the Cross-References

1. The Digital Cut vs. Padgett's Interface with Artificial Intelligence

- **The Chapter 5 Manifesto:** Demands that art accentuates hard boundaries, glitches, and crisp binary 0/1 differences over smooth, continuous waves.
- **The Padgett Application:** In his 2026 research, *"The Paradox of Postmodern Religious Art in a*

World of Artificial Intelligence," Padgett bypasses the non-dual tech-goo critiqued in Chapter 3. Instead of using machine learning to dissolve human authorial intent into a continuous data ocean (like Refik Anadol), Padgett uses technology to create a **stark interface**. He isolates the mathematical rigidity of the computer code from the historic, transcendent weight of sacred art. The "cut" is made explicit: the viewer is forced to look at the unbridgeable gulf between mechanical computation and human faith.

2. Hu-Man Agency vs. The Artist as Singular Witness

- **The Chapter 5 Manifesto:** Rejects the horizontal hive mind and elevates individual choice, defiance, and separate moral accountability.
- **The Padgett Application:** Padgett's extensive, historically grounded research projects (such as his deep-dives into iconic 20th-century artists) are exercises in **radical individual intentionality**. He completely opposes the eco-mystical trend of treating the artist as a passive conduit for nature's networks. Padgett's public sculptures are placed in highly contested landscapes (such as Jerusalem) not to blend into the environment, but to stand as **sovereign, separate interventions**. The artwork acts as an independent entity that asserts human agency and demands a conscious, individual evaluation from the passerby.

3. The Drama of Forgiveness vs. The Sacred/Profane Juxtaposition

- **The Chapter 5 Manifesto:** Demands narrative art centered on conflict, separation, and intentional reconciliation, noting that love and ethics require an absolute fracture between two entities.
- **The Padgett Application:** Padgett's concept of Postmodern Religious Art relies entirely on a structural fracture. He completely rejects the vague, non-dual "universal spirituality" that flattens all theological differences into a meaningless mush. Instead, he maintains the **strict boundaries** of specific historical faiths. He then stages a dramatic, high-contrast juxtaposition between these rigid sacred forms and the messy realities of the profane modern world. This juxtaposition creates the exact creative vacuum needed for the **Drama of Forgiveness**. By keeping the two domains strictly separate rather than blending them away, Padgett's art creates the friction required for real reconciliation, moral judgment, and spiritual illumination.

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

The following texts, exhibitions, and cultural markers trace the collapse of the non-dual paradigm and provide the philosophical, technological, and ethical foundations for the architecture of the dual.

Philosophical Foundations: Dualism & Non-Dualism

- **Badiou, Alain.** *Being and Event*. Translated by Oliver Feltham. London: Continuum, 2005. (Crucial for its mathematical ontology of the "count-as-one" and the structural necessity of the split).
- **Benjamin, Walter.** *The Work of Art in the Age of Its Technological Reproducibility*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2008. (On the political necessity of the critical distance between viewer and art).
- **Derrida, Jacques.** *On Touching—Jean-Luc Nancy*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 2005. (Deconstructing the non-dual myth of continuous, unmediated touch).
- **Frank, Manfred.** *The Philosophical Foundations of Early German Romanticism*. Albany, NY: SUNY Press, 1997. (On how consciousness requires a primordial division, or *Ur-teilung*, to perceive itself).
- **Žižek, Slavoj.** *Less Than Nothing: Hegel and the Shadow of Dialectical Materialism*. London: Verso,

2012. (Examining the ontological gap or "parallax view" that splits oneness from within).

- **The Technology of the Binary & Digital Philosophy**

- **Baudrillard, Jean.** *Simulacra and Simulation*. Translated by Sheila Faria Glaser. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1994. (Analyzing the binary code as the primary architecture organizing contemporary reality).
- **Galloway, Alexander R.** *Laruelle: Against the Digital*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2014. (A critical examination of the "digital cut" as a supreme philosophical distinction).
- **Kittler, Friedrich.** *Gramophone, Film, Typewriter*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 1999. (Tracing how data systems rely on absolute physical separations to create cultural meaning).
- **Wiener, Norbert.** *Cybernetics: or Control and Communication in the Animal and the Machine*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1948. (The foundational text establishing messages as discrete, binary choices against a background of non-dual chaos).

- **Ethics, Free Will, and Forgiveness**

- **Arendt, Hannah.** *The Human Condition*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1958. (Essential for its definition of forgiveness as the ultimate, unpredictable exercise of human freedom that breaks the automatic chain of cause and effect).

- **Derrida, Jacques.** *On Forgiveness*. London: Routledge, 2001. (Analyzing the structural paradox of forgiveness as an act requiring two completely separate, irreconcilable agents).
- **Jankélévitch, Vladimir.** *Forgiveness*. Translated by Andrew Kelley. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2005. (Framing forgiveness as a radical, intentional, human-centered event).
- **Contemporary Art & Exhibition Histories (2021–2026)**
- **Alemani, Cecilia.** *The Milk of Dreams: 59th International Art Exhibition*. Venice: La Biennale di Venezia, 2022. Exhibition catalogue. (The definitive historical record of the non-dual, post-humanist paradigm).
- **Anadol, Refik.** *Unsupervised*. Exhibition at the Museum of Modern Art (MoMA), New York, November 19, 2022 – October 29, 2023 [1]. (The peak of the algorithmic, continuous data-ocean aesthetic).
- **Ginsberg, Alexandra Daisy.** *Pollinator Pathmaker*. Commissioned by the Eden Project, 2021–2024. (Case study in algorithmic, non-human-centered bio-design).
- **Okoyomon, Precious.** *To Earth Has Flown the Earth*. Exhibition at the Venice Biennale, 2022; and related installations at the Aspen Art Museum (2021) and Luma Arles (2024). (Tracing the immersive, non-dual ecosystem movement).